FAX COVER SHEET

| ТО | |
|---------------|--|
| COMPANY | |
| FAX NUMBER | 15712702240 |
| FROM | Lee & Hayes Seattle Office |
| DATE | 2009-02-27 18:47:22 GMT |
| RE | Informal Communication: 10/693,854 Proposed Interview Agenda |
| (MS1-1780US) | |

COVER MESSAGE

Dear Examiner Wang,

Please find attached a proposed interview agenda. If possible, we would like to schedule the interview for March 18, 19, 22, or 23. Please call me with a couple of days/times that you are available. We are on the west coast so anytime after 11 am your time works well.

The following agenda should be 5 pages. Please let me know if you don't receive all pages.

Thank you and regards,
Pam Prellwitz
Paralegal
206.876.6011
pam<mailto:pam@leehayes.com>@leehayes.com<mailto:pam@leehayes.com>

Lee & Hayes pllc, Intellectual Property Law 701 Pike St, Suite 1600, Seattle, WA 98101 | 206.315.4004 fax | www.leehayes.comwww.leehayes.com

NOTE: This email and any attachments contain information from the law firm of Lee & Hayes, pllc, that is confidential and/or subject to attorney-client privilege. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please do not read it or disclose it to others. Instead, please delete it and notify the sender immediately.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)

| Serial Number | 10/693,854 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Confirmation Number | 3939 |
| Filing Date | Oct 24, 2003 |
| Title of Application | Programming Interface for a Computer Platform |
| First Named Inventor | Robert A. Relyea |
| Assignee | Microsoft Corporation |
| Group Art Unit | 2192 |
| Examiner | Ben C Wang |
| Attorney Docket Number | MS1-1780US |
| Nature of this Document | Informal Communication in Preparation for |
| racare or cins bocument | Scheduling an Examiner Interview |

To: Examiner Wang

Fax: 571-270-2240 Phone: 571-270-1240

From: Robert C. Peck

Lee & Hayes, PLLC

601 W. Riverside Avenue, Suite 1400

Spokane, WA 99201 robp@leehayes.com

(Tel. 206-876-6019; Fax 509-323-8979)

Dear Examiner Wang:

[0001] This communication provides an agenda for an interview of this matter. My assistant will be contacting you to schedule an interview. If you would prefer to schedule the interview, then please contact my assistant or me directly. Our contact info is on the signature page of this document. Thank you in advance for talking with me about this matter.



Interview Agenda:

- Discussion of exemplary differences between the application/claims and the cited references; and
- Discussion of proposed amendments

Exemplary Differences - 103 Rejections

[0002] Proposed claim 1 recites (in part):

generating graphical objects using a first group of services, wherein the first group of services includes a service that determines a behavior of the graphical objects

[0003] In contrast, the primary reference (Smith) describes a programming interface which enables a programmer to specify applications such as web services. The programming interface of Smith also includes a plurality of groups of services. Services that you cited include those enabling drawing of objects and security. Also, Smith mentions that the use of XML and HTML.

[0004] In rejecting claim 9 – the features of which are now incorporated in claim 1 – you cite paragraph 30, lines 4-8 of Smith, which simply mention the well known XML language. I submit though, that the mere mention of the well-known XML language does not teach or suggest *a service that determines a behavior of the graphical objects*. XML is not a service. Further, the portions of Smith cited by the Examiner as disclosing the first group of services – System.Drawing and System.Web.UI – are not described in any greater detail than their mere names. And nothing



about those names is sufficient in detail to suggest that the named services include "a service that determines a behavior of the graphical objects", as recited in proposed claim 1.

Proposed Amendments

[0005] Please see the attached Appendix of Proposed Claim Amendments. I would like to discuss your opinion regarding the proposed amendments in light of the currently cited references.

[0006] Thank you in advance for scheduling time for this interview. I look forward to discussing this with you.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: February 27, 2009 By:____

Robert C. Peck Reg. No. 56826 (206) 876-6019 robp@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Pam Prellwitz (206) 876-6011 pam@leehayes.com



Appendix of Claims with Proposed Amendments

(Currently Amended) A programming interface embodied 1.

on one or more computer readable storage media having computer-

executable instructions for performing steps comprising:

generating graphical objects using a first group of services, wherein

the first group of services includes a service that determines a behavior of

the graphical objects;

formatting content using a second group of services, wherein the

second group of services arranges the graphical objects;

creating components of the graphical objects using a third group of

services;

binding elements to data sources, data source classes, and data

specific implementations of data collections using a fourth group of

services, wherein the fourth group of services further handle exceptions in

data entry;

using a common markup language to map classes and properties

specified in the markup language to an instantiated tree of objects across

the first group of services, the second group of services the third group of

services, and the fourth group of services; and

Rev. Date: 04/01/08 Rev. By: KDB

ICE STAYES The Business of IP™ www.leehayes.com 509.324.9256

integrating the first group of services, the second group of services, the third group of services, and the fourth group of services using a consistent programming model and consistent services across the three service groups.

